

United States Patent and Trademark Office



		www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
09/708,278	11/08/2000	Robert Aigner	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			GR 98 P 1686	9981
Lerner and Gr Post Office Box	¢ 2480		ЕХАМІ	NER
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the *EXAMINER* in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

THE PERIOD FOR REPLY:
a) Kwill expire months from the date of the final Office action (i.e., i.e., i
whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than six months from the mail date of this Advisory Action, the final Office action.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for replication in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's reply to the final rejection, filed 5-17-02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance.
1. The proposed amendment to the claim and/or specifications will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. — There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: 35 usc 112 rejection may be needed what constitutes
" al less the second to the se
et ching structures!
2. Newly proposed or amended claim
amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims
3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims objected to:
Claims objected to: Claims rejected: /- 7
However;
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because————————————————————————————————————
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other
Applicant may obtain further examination by filing a request for an application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) (CPA).
/

MB Cal